13

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711

JOHN L. BILL

October 23, 1973

Honorable Raymond W. Vowell, Commissioner State Department of Public Welfare Jonn H. Reagan Building Austin, Texas 78701

Open Records Decision No. 6

Dear Commissioner Vowell:

This decision under Section 7(a) of the Open Records Act is rendered in response to the following requests from you:

- (1) On July 30 with regard to Girlstown U.S.A. (Austin Branch), and Masonic Home at Fort Worth from the Houston Post;
- (2) On July 30 with regard to Meridell Achievement Center, Wimberly Center, Abilene Youth Center, East Texas Achievement and Guidance Center, Summit Oaks Achievement Center and Marbridge Foundation from the Houston Chronicle
- (3) On August 6 with regard to Roloff Evangelistic Enterprises, Inc. of Corpus Christi (including the Rebekan Home for Girls, Corpus Christi; the Lighthouse on Padre Island; and the Anchor Home for Boys, Zapata) and Lebe Hoch Heim in Fredericksburg from the Houston Post;
- (4) On August 6 with regard to Mary Lee School of Special Education and Brown School from the Houston Chronicle;
- (5) On August 8 with regard to Brown Ranch, Wimberly Center, Wimberly Center at Deer Creek, Meridell, Mary Lee Foundation, Girlstown USA at Austin, East Texas Guidance and Achievement Center, Artesia Hall, and Dyer Vocational Training Center from WFAA TV;
- (6) On August 14 with regard to Buckner's Home from WFAA TV.

Honorable Raymond W. Vowell, Commissioner October 23., 1973
Page Two

Pursuant to principles in Opinion H-90 and Open Records Decision No. 3, you reviewed each of the files, access to which was requested. You sent us some documents from some of those files, as follows:

- (1) Girlstown U.S.A. (Austin Branch);
- (2) Meridell Achievement Center, Abilene Youth Center, and Marbridge Foundation;
- (3) Roloff Evangelistic Enterprises, Inc. of Corpus Christi;
- (4) None;
- (5) None;
- (6) Buckner's Home.

With regard to the files from Which you sent us no records, we conclude that you found therein no records which were protected from disclosure by any exception in the Open Records Act. With regard to those documents pulled from the files you believe all or portions of them to be protected from disclosure by exception number one.

Having reviewed the documents you sent us in the light of Opinion H-90 and Open Records Decision No. 2 and No. 3, we agree with your judgment that the information you sent us is protected by the privacy concept.

Very truly yours,

John L. Hill Attorney General

Staff legislative Assistant.